

**Commission Staff Summary of
Recent Commission Actions on California Electricity Markets**

NOVEMBER 2000

- \$ November 1: San Diego Gas & Elec. Co. (Complainant) v. Sellers of Energy and Ancillary Services into Markets Operated by CalISO and CalPX, 93 FERC & 61,121 (order proposing remedies for California crisis on complaint of SDG&E)("November 1 Order")
- \$ November 6: CPUC asks FERC to assist CPUC in investigation (Docket EL00-95-000)
- \$ November 9: Public Conference re FERC-proposed remedies held in Washington (see 93 FERC & 61,122)
- \$ November 22: California Power Exchange Corp., 93 FERC & 61,199 (order accepting amendments to streamline and clarify several provisions of the PX tariff)
- \$ November 22: Pacific Gas & Elec. Co., 93 FERC & 61,207 (order suspending PG&E transmission rate increase proposal)

DECEMBER 2000

- \$ December 7:

SDG&E files request for emergency relief re natural gas prices (Docket RP01-180)

SoCal Edison files motion seeking to subpoena ISO Market Surveillance Committee data (Docket EL00-95-000)
- \$ December 8:

San Diego Gas & Elec. Co., 93 FERC & 61,238 (order waiving operating efficiency and other regulatory requirements governing "QFs" and other small power producers to boost power output in California)

December 8: California ISO Corp., 93 FERC & 61,239 (order authorizing ISO tariff amendments to: (1) convert existing \$250/MWh hard cap on bids

in the real-time market into a \$250/MWh breakpoint; (2) impose a penalty on generators who fail to comply with an ISO emergency order to provide power; and (3) assess costs against parties that underschedule demand or fail to deliver power.

\$ December 11 and 12: Motions for clarification, modification, and rehearing of December 8 ISO order

\$ December 13: SoCal Edison files motion for immediate modification of December 8 QF order

\$ December 13: California Power Exchange Corp., 93 FERC & 61,260 (order accepting settlement re PX dispute resolution procedures)

\$ December 15: San Diego Gas & Elec. Co. (Complainant) v. Sellers of Energy and Ancillary Services into Markets Operated by CaISO and CalPX, 93 FERC & 61,294 (Order adopting remedial measures to reduce reliance on volatile spot markets, including: (1) eliminating requirement that investor-owned utilities sell all their generation into the PX markets; (2) requiring 95 percent of demand to be scheduled in advance and establishing a benchmark for long-term contracts; and (3) imposing an interim \$150/MWh soft cap or "breakpoint" on spot markets pending development of longer term price mitigation plan)("December 15 Order")

\$ December 18 and 20: SoCal Edison and PG&E file emergency requests for rehearing of December 15 Order

\$ December 20: Marketers file emergency motion for order requiring ISO and PX not to disclose confidential information (Docket EC96-1663-000)

\$ December 22:

Dynegy files complaint alleging that rates paid for energy supplied in response to an ISO emergency order are confiscatory (Docket EL01-23-000)

Dynegy files emergency motion for clarifications of December 15 order to ensure payment to suppliers (Docket EL00-95-006)

Commission issues data request in response to December 7 SDG & E complaint re natural gas prices

\$ December 26: PX files request for rehearing and stay of December 15 order (Docket EL00-95-005)

\$ December 29:

Southern California Edison Co., 93 FERC & 61,320 (order analyzing and accepting SoCal Edison rates for scheduling and dispatching)

Pacific Gas & Elec. Co., 93 FERC & 61,322 (order rejecting PG&E filing regarding its scheduling on the ISO)

San Diego Gas & Elec. Co., 93 FERC & 61,333 (order accepting SDG&E rate filing re so-called "RMR" generating unitsBunits that must run to assure system reliability)

Southern California Edison Co., 93 FERC & 61,334 (order accepting RMR tariff for SoCal Edison)

California ISO Corp., 93 FERC & 61,337 (order accepting ISO grid management charges)

JANUARY 2001

\$ January 4: ISO files tariff amendment to relax its creditworthiness standards to allow PG&E and SoCal Edison to continue conducting transactions on ISO-controlled grid, notwithstanding downgrades in their credit ratings (Docket No. ER01-889-000)

\$ January 5: PX files tariff amendment to relax its creditworthiness standards to allow PG&E and SoCal Edison to continue trading in the PX markets, notwithstanding downgrades in their credit ratings (Docket No. ER01-902-000)

\$ January 8: San Diego Gas & Elec. Co., 94 FERC & 61,005 (order clarifying that December 15 Order was not intended to bar the PX from engaging in bilateral forward contracting)

\$

\$ January 12:

Pacific Gas & Elec Co., 94 FERC & 61,025 (order authorizing intra-corporate reorganization of PG&E Corporation)

Sierra Pacific Power Co., 94 FERC & 61,033 (order denying rehearing re priority use of certain California grid interties)

\$ January 16: California Power Exchange Corp., 94 FERC & 61,042 (order authorizing PX to implement emergency tariff changes to allow SoCal Edison two additional days to make its payment)

\$ January 18: ISO files tariff amendment to conform to December 15 order re payment procedures for RMR operations (Docket ER01-991-000)

\$ January 19 through February 12: Various persons, including State of California and CPUC, file requests for late intervention and rehearing of January 12 order authorizing intra-corporate reorganization of PG&E Corporation (Docket Nos. EC01-41-000 and EC01-49-000)

\$ January 23: PG&E files motion for immediate order to stop PX from liquidating PG&E's long-term or "block forward" contracts after PG&E refuses PX demand for payment to cover a portion of SoCal Edison's nonpayment for transactions in the PX spot markets (Docket No. EL01-29-000)

\$ January 23: FERC staff conducts technical conference with industry representatives re prospective spot market monitoring and mitigation plan

\$ January 25: Pacific Gas & Elec. Co., 94 FERC & 61,082 (order denying rehearing request re PG&E transmission rates)

\$ January 29: San Diego Gas & Elec. Co., 94 FERC & 61,085 (order finding PX in violation of December 15 order for failing to implement \$150/MWh breakpoint)

FEBRUARY 2001

- \$ February 1: Los Angeles Dep't Water & Power files emergency petition for reimposition of price cap on natural gas pipeline capacity (Docket RP01-222-000)
- \$ February 2:
 - SoCal Edison files emergency motion for cease and desist order preventing PX from liquidating SoCal Edison's long-term "block forward" contracts to cover SoCal Edison's nonpayment for transactions in the PX spot markets (Docket EL01-33-000)
 - SoCal Edison and PG&E file for immediate suspension of underscheduling penalties imposed by December 15 order (Docket EL01-34-000)
- \$ February 6: Mirant Delta files complaint with request for fast track processing that: (1) seeks enforcement of the creditworthiness standards for PG&E and SoCal Edison in the ISO tariff; and (2) alleges ISO violation of December 15 order for failure to replace governing board (Docket EL01-35-000)
- \$ February 7: Pacific Gas & Elec. Co., 94 FERC & 61,093 (order accepting settlement re PG&E transmission rates)
- \$ February 8 and 12, and March 2: Various parties, including Coral Power, Enron, SDG&E, Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District, Sacramento Municipal Utility District, and Public Service Company of New Mexico file three complaints requesting that the PX be barred from further implementing tariff "charge back" provision that allows the PX to recover uncollected amounts owed by PG&E and SoCal Edison from other market participants (Docket EL01-36-000, EL01-37-000, and EL01-43-000)
- \$ February 14: California ISO Corp., 94 FERC & 61,132 (order rejecting ISO and PX tariff amendments relaxing creditworthiness standards for PG&E and SoCal Edison as applied to transactions affecting third-party suppliers)
- \$ February 15: FERC staff meets with PX regarding requirements for implementing \$150/MWh breakpoint
- \$ February 21:

California ISO Corp., 94 FERC & 61,141 (order accepting amended Transmission Control Agreement among ISO and transmission owners and addressing complaints by City of Vernon regarding conditions of becoming participating transmission owner)

California ISO Corp., 94 FERC & 61,148 (order denying rehearing of October 2000 order relating to ISO's Transmission Access Charge)

Pacific Gas & Elec. Co., 94 FERC & 61,154 (order denying intervention and rehearing of January 12 order authorizing PG&E Corporation intra-corporate reorganization)

\$ February 22: generators request order compelling ISO to comply with February 14 order re creditworthiness (ER01-889-002)

\$ February 23: San Diego Gas & Elec. Co., 94 FERC & 61,200 (order on rehearing of December 29 order re reassignment of RMR costs)

\$ February 26: PX files request for clarification/rehearing of February 14 creditworthiness order

\$ February 28:

PX makes compliance filing proposing implementation of \$150 MWh breakpoint requirement; seeks rehearing of January 29 order (EL00-95-016; EL00-98-015);

Tucson Electric files complaint against the Governor of California challenging California's "commandeering" of PG&E and SoCal Edison's long-term contracts from the PX (EL00-95; EL01-40-000)

Complaint filed by Strategic Energy L.L.C. versus ISO concerning out-of-market costs (EL01-41-000)

MARCH 2001

\$ March 1:

\$

ISO files revised tariff amendment on creditworthiness in compliance with February 14 order rejecting earlier proposed amendment

California Electricity Oversight Board files motion for clarification of December 15 order

ISO and Electricity Oversight Board file motion for issuance of refund notice to sellers, request for data, and request for hearing

\$ March 2: Universal Studios files complaint against SoCal Edison challenging penalties Universal was charged for failing to interrupt its service under its interruptible service contract with SoCal Edison (Docket No. EL01-42-000)

\$ March 7 through 23: Various persons file second round of requests for intervention and rehearing of January 12 order authorizing PG&E Corporation intra-corporate reorganization

\$ March 8: Ridgewood Power requests emergency relief and extension of waiver of "QF" regulations applicable to small generators (Docket No. EL00-95-020)

\$ March 9:

San Diego Gas & Elec. Co. v. Sellers of Energy and Ancillary Services into Markets Operated by CalISO and CalPX, 94 FERC & 61,245 (Order directing refunds or further justification for charges)

"Staff Recommendation on Prospective Market Monitoring and Mitigation for the California Wholesale Electric Power Market" (Docket Nos. EL 00-95-012, *et al.*)

San Diego Gas & Elec. Co. v. Sellers of Energy and Ancillary Services into Markets Operated by CalISO and CalPX, 94 FERC & 61,243 (Order dismissing rehearing request of 1/8/01 order)

\$ March 14:

"Order Removing Obstacles to Increased Electric Generation and Natural Gas Supply in the Western United States and Requesting Comments on Further Actions to Increase Energy Supply and Decrease Energy Consumption (Docket No. EL 01-47-000) (order includes: (1) requirement that ISO and western transmission owners file list of grid enhancements that can be implemented in short term; (2) extension of waiver of QF regulations through December 31, 2001; (3) authorization for western businesses with back-up generators and customers who reduce their consumption to sell wholesale power at market-based rates; and (4) solicitation of comment on additional proposals)

Cities of Anaheim, et al. v. ISO, 94 FERC & 61,268 (order dismissing in part and granting in part complaint alleging that certain cities are being charged inappropriate costs when ISO allocates the cost of power obtained through emergency orders to generators).

AES Southland, Inc., Williams Energy Trading & Marketing Co., 94 FERC & 61, 248 (order directing parties to explain why they should not be found in violation of the Federal Power Act for engaging in actions that inflated electric power prices)

- \$ March 15: Chairman testifies before the Senate Committee on Energy and Natural Resources
- \$ March 16: San Diego Gas & Elec. Co. v. Sellers of Energy and Ancillary Services into Markets Operated by CalISO and CalPX, 94 FERC & 62,245 (notice re proxy market clearing price and refunds for February transactions)
- \$ March 20: The Commissioners testify before the House Committee on Energy and Commerce, Subcommittee on Energy and Air Quality
- \$ March 21: Reliant files fast-track complaint against the ISO challenging the ISO's issuance of emergency orders requiring generators to supply power (Docket No. EL01-57-000)
- \$ March 22 through April 9: Parties file requests for rehearing of 3/9 order directing refunds (Docket No. EL00-95-019, et al.)

- \$ March 28: CPUC v. El Paso Natural Gas Co., et al., 94 FERC & 61,338 (order dismissing portion of complaint alleging affiliate abuse but ordering public hearing on whether El Paso exercised market power to drive up natural gas prices)
- \$ March 29: ISO files motion for order directing Reliant to keep generating unit in service (Docket No. EL01-57-000)

APRIL 2001

- \$ April 2 through 4: Proposed generation interconnection procedures filed by California ISO, PG&E, SDG&E, and SoCal Edison in compliance with 12/15 order (Docket Nos. EL00-95-022, -023, -024, -025)
- \$ April 5: Complaint by California Cogeneration Council, et al., alleging that a CPUC decision affecting QF rates violates PURPA (Docket No. EL01-64-000)

- \$ April 6:

San Diego Gas & Elec. Co. v. Sellers of Energy and Ancillary Services into Markets Operated by CalISO and CalPX, 95 FERC & 61,021 (Order dismissing rehearing, accepting compliance filing, and directing the recalculation of lower wholesale rates)

Pacific Gas and Electric Co., et al., 95 FERC & 61,020 (Order on complaints concerning use of chargebacks and liquidation of collateral)

Kern River Gas Transmission Co., 95 FERC & 61,022 (Order issuing certificate for facilities to transport natural gas from Wyoming to California)

California Independent System Operator Corporation, 95 FERC & 61,024 (Order granting motion of generators to compel ISO to comply with creditworthiness requirements)

California Independent System Operator Corporation, 95 FERC & 61,026 (Order granting clarification in part and denying rehearing of order on PX tariff creditworthiness amendment)

Southern California Edison Co and Pacific Gas and Electric Co, 95 FERC & 61,025 (Order deferring action on request for suspension of underscheduling penalty and issuing request for information)

\$ April 9: Ridgewood Power files an updated request for emergency relief re QF regulations in light of PG&E's bankruptcy filing

\$ April 10: Commission convenes Western Energy Issues Conference in Boise, Idaho

\$ April 10-12: The Chairman and General Counsel testify before the House Committee on Government Reform regarding wholesale electricity prices in California and the West

\$ April 16:

San Diego Gas & Elec. Co. v. Sellers of Energy and Ancillary Services into Markets Operated by CalISO and CalPX (unpublished notice of proxy price for March wholesale transactions in Docket No. EL00-95-028, et al.)

Californians for Renewable Energy files complaint against BC Hydro and other generators alleging withholding (Docket No. EL01-65-000)

\$ April 18: Public Utilities Commission of the State of California v. El Paso Natural Gas Co., et al., 95 FERC & 61,089 (Order on rehearing regarding allegations of affiliate abuse and market power by gas pipeline)

\$ April 25: Tractabel Power Inc files a petition for enforcement action alleging that a CPUC decision affecting QF rates violates PURPA (Docket No. EL01-67-000)

\$ April 26:

San Diego Gas & Elec. Co. v. Sellers of Energy and Ancillary Services into Markets Operated by CalISO and CalPX, 95 FERC & 61,115 (Order establishing prospective mitigation and monitoring plan for the California wholesale electric markets and establishing an investigation of public utility rates in wholesale Western energy markets)

Avista Corporation, et al., 95 FERC & 61,114 (Order granting, with modification, RTO west petition for declaratory order and granting Transconnect petition for declaratory order)

CalISO files bylaw amendments incorporating changes in governance (Docket No. EL00-95-030, et al.)

\$ April 27:

Calpine Corp. files a petition for enforcement action and/or a declaratory order alleging that a CPUC decision affecting QF rates violates PURPA (Docket No. EL01-71-000)

Commission notices initiation of investigation of rates in the WSCC (Docket No. EL01-68-000)

\$ April 30:

Edison Mission Energy files an application for approval of corporate reorganization (Docket No. EC01-93-000)

AES Southland, Inc. and Williams Energy Marketing & Trading Co., 95 FERC & 61,167 (Order approving stipulation and consent agreement with respect to issues raised in the 3/14 show cause order)

MAY 2001

\$ May 1:

The Commissioners testify before the House Subcommittee on Energy and Air Quality to discuss the proposed Electricity Emergency Relief Act

The Director of Markets, Tariffs and Rates issues a letter to the ISO, PG&E, SDG&E, and SoCal Edison offering staff's assistance to complete RTO filings

\$ May 2: The Commission instituted a proceeding under FPA ' 210(d) in Docket No. EL01-72-000 to consider whether it may need to order interconnection or transmission services to alleviate generation capacity shortages in California

\$

\$ May 3: Western Systems Coordinating Council and two regional transmission groups file a petition for a declaratory order disclaiming jurisdiction or for an order approving the transfer of functions to a new Western Electricity Coordinating Council.(Docket Nos. EL01-74-000/EL99-23, et al.)

\$ May 7:

Requests for rehearing of the Commission's 4/26/01 market mitigation order filed (Docket No. EL00-95-031, et al.)

Request for rehearing of the 4/6/01 order granting motion filed (Docket No. ER01-889-004, et al.)

El Paso Natural Gas Co., 95 FERC & 61,176 (Order issuing a certificate permitting increased pipeline capacity to California by converting an oil pipeline to gas service)

City of Vernon files a complaint asking FERC to prevent the ISO from subjecting Vernon's customers to rolling blackouts (Docket No. EL01-75-000)

\$ May 9: Director of OMTR issues a letter to Southern California Air Quality Management District requesting information on its NOx Emission Program

\$ May 10: Cogeneration Ass'n of California files a petition for enforcement action and/or a declaratory order alleging that a CPUC decision affecting QF rates violates PURPA (Docket No. EL01-77-000)

\$ May 11: CalISO files a compliance filing in Docket No. ER01-889-005, as directed in the 4/6/01 order granting motion

\$ May 14:

Cities of Anaheim, et al. v. CalISO, 95 FERC & 61,197 (Order on rehearing concerning complaint about OOM costs)

Edison Mission Energy, 95 FERC & 61,198 (Order approving corporate reorganization)

San Diego Gas & Elec. Co. v. Sellers of Energy and Ancillary Services into Markets Operated by CalISO and CalPX, 95 FERC & 62,125 (notice of proxy price for April wholesale transactions in Docket No. EL00-95-033, et al.)

\$ May 16:

Removing Obstacles To Increased Electric Generation And Natural Gas Supply In The Western United States, 95 FERC & 61,225 (Further order on removing obstacles to increased energy supply and reduced demand in the Western United States and dismissing petition for rehearing)

San Diego Gas & Elec. Co. v. Sellers of Energy and Ancillary Services into Markets Operated by CalISO and CalPX, 95 FERC & 61,226 (Order granting motions for emergency relief by QFs in part and establishing further procedures)

California Independent System Operator Corporation, 95 FERC & 61,199 (Order accepting in part and rejecting in part ISO Tariff Amendment No. 38)

\$ May 18: Reporting of Natural Gas Sales to the California Market, 95 FERC & 61,262 (Order proposing reporting requirements on natural gas sales to California markets and requesting comments)

\$ May 22: San Diego Gas & Electric Co., et al., 95 FERC & 61,264 (Order requesting comments on whether the Commission should reimpose the maximum rate ceiling on short-term capacity release transactions into California)

\$ May 24: Commission convenes a technical conference regarding pipeline capacity into and adequacy within California (Docket No. PL01-4-000)

\$ May 25:

San Diego Gas & Electric Co., et al., 95 FERC & 61,275 (Order providing clarification and preliminary guidance on implementation of mitigation and monitoring plan)

CE Generation files a petition for enforcement action alleging that a CPUC decision affecting QF rates violates PURPA (Docket No. EL01-83-000)

- \$ May 31: Strategic Energy LLC v. CalISO, 95 FERC & 61,312 (Order rejecting as premature complaint that ISO overcharged for power being bought out-of-market)

JUNE 2001

- \$ June 1:

California ISO, SDG&E, SoCal Edison, and PG&E submit RTO compliance filings in RT01-85, et al.

Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District files a complaint alleging the ISO overcharged Neutrality Adjustment Charges during CY 2000 (Docket No. EL01-84-000)

- \$ June 4: Cogeneration Council of California, et al. (Notice of intent not to act re two petitions for enforcement filed pursuant to PURPA ' 210(h) in Docket Nos. EL01-64-000 and EL01-67-000)

- \$ June 11: CPUC v. El Paso Natural Gas Co., et al., 95 FERC & 61,368 (Order granting in part rehearing of 3/28/01 order and setting for hearing the allegations of affiliate abuse raised by complainants)

- \$ June 13:

California Independent System Operator Corporation, 95 FERC & 61,391 (Order denying rehearing of order granting motion of generators to compel ISO to comply with creditworthiness requirements)

California Independent System Operator Corporation, 95 FERC & 61,390 (Order accepting ISO tariff amendments to conform with FERC formatting requirements)

- \$ June 14: Morgan Stanley Capital Group Inc. files a complaint against the ISO concerning the ongoing problem of phantom congestion (Docket No. EL01-89-000)
- \$ June 15:

Consumers Union of U.S. Inc. tenders a filing requesting the Commission to immediately protect consumers against unjust and unreasonable charges in the Western United States under FPA sections 205 and 206 (Docket No. EL01-90-000)

San Diego Gas & Elec. Co. v. Sellers of Energy and Ancillary Services into Markets Operated by CalISO and CalPX, et al. (unpublished notice of proxy price for May wholesale transactions in Docket No. EL00-95-037, et al.)
- \$ June 19: San Diego Gas & Elec. Co. v. Sellers of Energy and Ancillary Services into Markets Operated by CalISO and CalPX, et al., 95 FERC & 61,418 (Order on rehearing of monitoring and mitigation plan for the California wholesale electric markets, establishing West-wide mitigation, and establishing a settlement conference)
- \$ June 22: San Diego Gas & Elec. Co. v. Sellers of Energy and Ancillary Services into Markets Operated by CalISO and CalPX, et al., 95 FERC & 61,425 (Order clarifying settlement conference procedures established in June 19 order)
- \$ June 25: Parties file requests for rehearing of 5/25/01 order clarifying monitoring and mitigation plan (Docket No. EL00-95-038)
- \$ June 25 - July 9: Settlement conference convened regarding refunds/offsets of past accounts, etc.
- \$ June 26: Calpine Corporation, et al., 95 FERC & 61,430 (notice of intent not to act re two petitions for enforcement filed pursuant to PURPA ' 210(h) in Docket Nos. EL01-71-000 and EL01-77-000)
- \$ June 29: ISO files to update its High Voltage Access Charges (Docket No. ER01-2457-000)

JULY 2001

- \$ July 3 through July 19: Numerous parties file requests for rehearing of 6/19/01 order (Docket No. EL00-95-039)
- \$ July 6: Cities of Anaheim, Azusa, Banning, Colton, and Riverside, California v. California ISO, et al., 96 FERC & 61,024 (order establishing settlement proceedings in Docket Nos. EL00-111-000 and EL01-84-000)
- \$ July 10: CalISO submits compliance filing in response to 6/19/01 order (Docket No. EL00-95-040)
- \$ July 11: Universal Studios, Inc. v. Southern California Edison, 96 FERC & 61,043 (order dismissing complaint re penalties Universal was charged for failing to interrupt its service under its interruptible service contract)
- \$ July 12:

San Diego Gas & Elec. Co. v. Sellers of Energy and Ancillary Services into Markets Operated by CalISO and CalPX, et al., 96 FERC & 63,007 (Report and Recommendation of Chief ALJ and Certification of the Record following settlement proceeding)

San Diego Gas & Elec. Co. v. Sellers of Energy and Ancillary Services into Markets Operated by CalISO and CalPX, et al., 96 FERC & 61,051 (order denying rehearing of 5/25/01 order which clarified 4/26/01 price mitigation order)
- \$ July 13: Dynegy files request for rehearing of 6/13/01 order re creditworthiness (Docket No. EL00-95-041)
- \$ July 16: San Diego Gas & Elec. Co. v. Sellers of Energy and Ancillary Services into Markets Operated by CalISO and CalPX, et al., 96 FERC & 61,088 (order deferring action on rehearing requests of the 5/16/01 order concerning QF issues and on the issues that arise under FPA ' 210)
- \$ July 25:

San Diego Gas & Elec. Co. v. Sellers of Energy and Ancillary Services into Markets Operated by CalISO and CalPX, et al., 96 FERC & 61,120

(order establishing the scope of and methodology for calculating refunds for past periods in California spot markets, initiating evidentiary hearing, and instituting preliminary evidentiary hearing for Pacific Northwest)

San Diego Gas & Elec. Co. v. Sellers of Energy and Ancillary Services into Markets Operated by CalISO and CalPX, et al., 96 FERC & 61,117 (order granting Mirant's emergency motion for clarification of the must-offer requirement in the 4/26 and 6/19 price mitigation orders)

Reporting of Natural Gas Sales to the California Market, 96 FERC & 61,119 (Order imposing reporting requirements on natural gas sales to California markets)

COURT CASES

- \$ In re: Southern California Edison Co., No. 00-1543 (D.C. Circuit Jan. 5, 2001) (petition for writ of mandamus to order FERC to set cost-based rates denied)
- \$ City of San Diego v. FERC, No. 00-71701 (9th Cir.)(petition for writ of mandamus regarding Dec. 15 order; petition denied on April 11, 2001)
- \$ In re: California Power Exchange Corp., No. 01-70031 (9th Cir.)(petition for writ of mandamus to stay Dec. 15 order; petition denied on April 11)
- \$ California Municipal Utilities Association v. FERC, et al., No. 01-1156 (D.C. Cir.)(four petitions for review of Dec. 15 order)
- \$ City of San Diego v. FERC, No. 01-70609 (9th Cir.)(petition for review of Dec. 15 order)
- \$ Western Power Trading Forum and Coalition of New Market Participants v. FERC, No. 99-1532 (D.C. Cir.)(petition for review challenging the Commission's approval of governance for the California ISO dismissed on 4/10/01)
- \$ In re: John L. Burton, et al. v. FERC, No. 01-70812 (9th Cir.) (Court denied petition for writ of mandamus on 5/29/01) (petition for rehearing pending)

- \$ Turlock Irrigation District v. FERC, No. 01-1289 (D.C. Cir.) (petition for review challenging application of mitigation plan (April 26 and June 19 orders) to non-public utilities)
- \$ Public Utilities Commission of the State of California v. FERC, No. 011-71051 (9th Cir.) (petition for review of November 1, December 15, March 9, and June 19 orders in EL00-95 et al.)

STAFF INVESTIGATIONS

The Commission's staff has completed or initiated a number of public investigations, audits, and studies of matters relating to events in California, including:

- \$ An audit of generation outages (report issued February 2, 2001)
- \$ An analysis of the effect of a western region-wide price cap (released in early February)
- \$ An analysis of causes of high prices in Pacific Northwest and California (released in early February)

As of 7/31/01